1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA WESTERN DIVISION AMERICAN ZURICH INSURANCE COMPANY and ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY, Plaintiffs, Case No. 20-cv-5026 vs. J. CRISMAN PALMER and GUNDERSON, PALMER, NELSON & ASHMORE, LLP, Defendants. -----------Deposition via Zoom of: COLIN F. CAMPBELL Date: December 19, 2022 Time: 2:16 p.m. **APPEARANCES** Scott R. Hoyt Pia Hoyt, LLC Salt Lake City, Utah Attorney for the Plaintiffs Jason R. Sutton Boyce Law Firm, LLP Sioux Falls, South Dakota Attorney for the Defendants REPORTED BY: Audrey M. Barbush, RPR

		20
1		Mr. Molinar in finalizing it. Is there any other work
2		that you performed in forming the opinions contained
3		within Exhibit 115?
4	A	Well, I've been through the report several times. You
5		know, things take place over time, and if there was
6		something comes up, I'd need to review the materials to
7		refresh my recollection.
8		I went back through all these materials in
9		preparation for my deposition. If we had meetings
10		where I was going to be talking with the client, I
11		would have gone through materials to refresh my
12		recollection again.
13		So I haven't just looked at them one time, is what
14		I'm suggesting.
15	Q	Is there any other work you recall performing in order
16		to form your opinions in this matter?
17	A	I don't know what you mean by "any other work."
18	Q	You cite some legal resources in your opinion,
19		specifically the Rules of Professional Conduct as well
20		as the Restatement (Third) of Lawyers; is that right?
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	Is there any legal research that you performed other
23		than the reference to the Rules of Professional Conduct
24		and the Third Restatement of Lawyers?
25	A	I don't think in terms of legal research. However, I

		21
1		have practiced for 45 years, and I have a great deal of
2		experience with respect to a wide variety of cases.
3		So, you know, I bring all of that into the opinions
4		that I form, and work I've done in the past involves
5		research with respect to the conduct of lawyers and
6		what's expected of lawyers. I sat on the State Bar
7		Ethics Committee, the State Bar here in Arizona, in the
8		1980s. I was the chair of that committee. When I was
9		a judge, I believe I also sat on the judicial ethics
10		committee and taught judicial ethics. I have a lot of
11		training and experience in what I believe goes into the
12		concept of the standard of care.
13	Q	The Rules of Professional Conduct that you cite in
14		those reports, is that the model rule of professional
15		conduct or is that the South Dakota specifically
16		adopted rule?
17	A	I would have to ask Mr. Molinar. I didn't he
18		didn't I'm not aware of any substantial difference
19		with respect to the standard of care rules he's citing
20		between South Dakota and the rest of the country.
21	Q	Did you perform any South Dakota-specific research in
22		forming your opinions in this case?
23	A	No, I don't think so. I've certainly read your
24		expert's report.
25	Q	Let's turn to page 6 of your report, please.

		22
1	A	All right.
2	Q	On page 6 through page 9 of the report, there are 22
3	~	specific key facts that you have identified; is that
4		right?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	I recognize that Item 13's got a bunch of subparts, but
7	~	at least there's 22 specific paragraphs, correct?
8	A	On the report there's 22 specific paragraphs, correct.
9	Q	What were you trying to memorialize in those 22
10		specific paragraphs, or at least in that section of the
11		report?
12	A	Well, as it says at the beginning it says "Key
13		Facts." So these are facts that I said it's my
14		understanding these facts are or will be supported by
15		competent evidence in the litigation, and then I've
16		identified and relied upon the following facts. So I
17		was trying to set forth key facts to the opinions I
18		reached.
19	Q	Are there any facts I'm sorry. I thought you had
20		finished. Go ahead. I'm sorry.
21	A	Well, I was just going to say, there may be additional
22		facts I relied upon, also. But, you know, we'd have to
23		talk about a particular subject, I guess.
24	Q	Was it your intent in the Key Facts section to
25		memorialize the most important facts that form the

		23
1		factual basis for your opinions?
2	A	Well, it's exactly what it says: It was just to state
3		the key facts with respect to the opinion.
4	Q	How did you decide which facts to include in that
5		section and which ones not to include?
6	A	Well, my opinion in the case is that Mr. Palmer did not
7		meet the standard of care with respect to his
8		representation of Zurich, and these are facts that bear
9		upon that opinion.
10	Q	Are you aware of any other facts that you're relying
11		upon to form the basis for that opinion that are not
12		identified in pages 6 through 9 of your report?
13	A	As I told you, I relied primarily upon the billing
14		records and the emails and correspondence. You know,
15		there may be certain you know, when you're looking
16		at the course of events, you can look at the billing
17		records and see when certain things happened. You can
18		look at the billing records when the correspondence was
19		done and then go to the correspondence. I mean, I'm
20		sort of relying on everything I was given. These were
21		key facts. That's all I'm trying to express.
22	Q	Are you assuming, in forming your opinions in this
23		case, that all 22 of the facts that you've identified
24		are proven to be true?
25	A	Well, we haven't had a trial in the case, of course.

		24
1		So I'm relying on emails, correspondence, billing
2		records, depositions. There's some source for most all
3		of these facts.
4	Q	And I appreciate that. So in forming your opinions,
5		you're assuming that the jury will agree with you that
6		the evidence at trial proves all 22 of those facts,
7		correct?
8	А	I don't think they need to find all 22 of those facts.
9		I mean, I haven't sat down and done a flowchart.
10	Q	Was it your assumption that those facts will be proven
11		to be true when you formed your opinions?
12	А	Well, take an example. I see here we have Fact
13		Number 19, which is that AZIC decided to retain Hinshaw
14		Culbertson as primary counsel in the suit. I mean,
15		that fact, whether it exists or not, doesn't affect my
16		opinions in the case. As I think I told you earlier
17		on, what Hinshaw did in the case doesn't really inform
18		my opinions.
19	Q	Can you tell me, as you're sitting here today, whether
20		you assumed that all of the items within Number 1
21		through 22 were, in fact, true as you describe them?
22	A	This sets forth sort of an outline of what happened in
23		the case, and in terms of being a chronological
24		outline, I think it's an accurate chronological outline
25		of the case.

		25
1	Q	So back to my question. In forming your opinions, you
2		were assuming that those facts are accurately stated in
3		1 through 22, correct?
4	A	I think we'd have to look at each one and go through
5		it. For example, I just told you, like, 19, that they
6		hired Hinshaw Culbertson has nothing to do with my
7		opinions on the case, but it's part of the
8		chronological summary of what happened.
9	Q	Let me ask the question differently. Regardless of
10		whether the fact is necessary for you in forming your
11		opinions, did you assume that the evidence proved that
12		each of the facts described in paragraphs 1 through 22
13		are, in fact, as you stated them?
14		MR. HOYT: Asked and answered about 10 times.
15		MR. SUTTON: He hasn't answered the question once.
16		He's danced around it. He can answer it again.
17		THE WITNESS: Well, I don't think the question is
18		fair. For example, I have the opinion that Mr. Palmer
19		did not meet the standard of care. One example of that
20		is he had instructions from his client of what his
21		client wanted him to do, none of which he did.
22		Now, the fact he received the instructions from
23		the client I assume to be true because I've been given
24		a document that was sent to him, and I've reviewed his
25		deposition where he said he didn't do the things the

37 1 and that then, in the case within the case, caused harm to the client because it prevented the client from 2 3 taking advantage of the early settlement offer. 4 Is that a fair synthesis of what your opinions are 5 in this case? 6 It's a fair synthesis of my opinions with respect -- in Α 7 the context of the case within the case, let's just 8 say. 9 Q Are you opining that any of the other conduct by 10 Attorney Palmer after the mediation caused any harm to 11 Zurich? 12 Well, I have the opinion that certain things, like not Α 13 consulting with the client about affirmative defenses, fall below the standard of care. I do not have an 14 15 opinion, after the settlement conference, with respect to the case within the case. 16 17 Tell me what things you are claiming Attorney Palmer Q 18 did after the mediation that form the basis for your opinions he failed to comply with the applicable 19 standard of care. 20 Well, it's sort of like the whole issue of affirmative 21 A defenses, which are eventually -- as some of them are 22 23 determined waived; I think the magistrate ruled on one of them -- again, I think it violates the standard of 24 25 care to not discuss with the client and present to the

		38
1		client written documentation regarding the answer and
2		your opinion with respect to raising certain
3		affirmative defenses.
4	Q	Any other opinions that you have regarding the
5		affirmative defenses in which you claim Attorney Palmer
6		failed to comply with the applicable standard of care?
7	A	Well, I think, you know, he could have initiated the
8		plaintiff initiated discovery requests. He certainly
9		could have initiated discovery requests at the
10		beginning of the case, but it's my understanding that
11		you would want to squelch those or put them on hold if
12		you wanted to take advantage of an early settlement
13		offer from this particular attorney.
14	Q	Are there any other opinions that you have that
15		Attorney Palmer failed to comply with the applicable
16		standard of care after the mediation?
17	A	Nothing comes to mind right now, but you may ask a
18		question that will jog my memory.
19	Q	And will you let me know if I do?
20	A	I'll try to.
21	Q	Now, as I reviewed Sections 9 and 10 of your report
22		let me ask it this way: In forming your opinions in
23		this case, what did you determine to be the appropriate
24		standard of care?
25	A	I think the standard of care is that you have to act

		41
1		caused harm to Zurich?
2	A	Yes. Well, failure to discuss it with the client
3	A	
		caused harm to Zurich because Zurich does not have the
4		facts in writing that the attorney should give them
5		that goes into their evaluation of the case for the
6		adjuster to go seek settlement authority.
7	Q	Okay. That's what
8	A	Now, if you're looking at the back end of the case and
9		saying, Does it affect what's going to happen if you
10		try it later on down the road after the settlement
11		conference, I haven't formed an opinion on that.
12	Q	Fair enough. And you don't have any opinions regarding
13		the merits of any of those defenses, correct?
14	A	I don't have an opinion with respect to the merits of
15		them other than the fact that the lawyer has to discuss
16		the merits of it with the client so the client has a
17		complete file.
18		MR. SUTTON: I have no further questions.
19		MR. HOYT: I don't have anything.
20		MR. SUTTON: Mr. Campbell, thank you for your
21		time. Have a good rest of your day, and on behalf of
22		everybody in South Dakota, you guys are wise to be in
23		the southern area.
24		(Discussion off the record.)
25		MR. SUTTON: Do you want to advise him on waiving
		The solution of the solution o